

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/824,991	SHIBUYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Trang U. Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	

**All Participants:**(1) Trang U. Tran.**Status of Application:** Amendment after Final filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) George B. F. Yee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 August 2009**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

12-13

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 12, line 2, the phrase: "in claim 13" has been changed to --in claim 11--.  
In claim 13, line 2, the phrase: "in claim 13" has been changed to --in claim 11--.  
These changes will place this application in condition for allowance..